

Splicing Localization in Motion Blurred 3D Scenes

PHOENIX, ARIZONF

Kuldeep Purohit, A.N. Rajagopalan

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, India kuldeeppurohit3@gmail.com,anr@ee.iitm.ac.in

Introduction and motivation

- Given a single image of a static 3D scene, this work solves two tasks: a **Exposing Image Forgery** and a **Depth-based Scene Segmentation** by recovering camera motion that occurred during exposure.
- Necessary, since it is not possible to detect using naked eye. Previous techniques could not handle 3D scenes containing motion blur.
- **High level idea**: Scene Depth, camera trajectory and motion-blur kernels are inter-related.
- **Challenge**: Knowledge of one is required to estimate the other.
- **Solution**: Discovered a consistency between horizontal and vertical projections of spatially-varying blur kernels within an image.

Camera Trajectory Estimation



- Figure 2: Camera Trajectory.
- Camera Trajectory estimation from local PSFs. Algorithm: [3].
 - $p^{set} = M^{set} W_D$ (6)
- Minimize with sparsity constraint.

$$\parallel p^{\text{set}} - M^{\text{set}} W_{\text{D}} \parallel^2 + c \parallel W_{\text{D}} \parallel^1$$
(7)

Matching PSFs at various depths



Blurring Model

• Pixel correspondences **x** and \mathbf{x}_{λ} at depth Z, after a transformation $[\phi^{\lambda}, T^{\lambda}]$

$$\mathbf{x}_{\lambda} = KR_{\lambda}K^{-1}\mathbf{x} + \frac{KT_{\lambda}}{Z} \quad (1) \qquad \mathbf{x}_{\lambda} = P_{\phi^{\lambda}, \frac{T^{\lambda}}{Z}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\phi_{z}^{\lambda} f \frac{t_{x}^{\lambda}}{Z} \\ \phi_{z}^{\lambda} & 1 & f \frac{t_{y}^{\lambda}}{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

• If w_i denotes the fraction of time camera spent in position i, motion blurred

Using depth and camera trajectory, we generate all possible PSFs at location **x**. If the pixel \mathbf{x} was actually situated at a different scene depth D_i , the PSF would be modified as follows

$$p^{D_{i}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} w_{\lambda} \delta(\mathbf{a} - (P_{\phi^{\lambda}, \frac{T^{\lambda}}{k_{i}D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x})) d\tau$$
(8)

Low cross-correlation between actual PSF and estimated PSFs \rightarrow Region Spliced!

Results

 Finally, we utilize natural image texture segmentation [Mobahi, IJCV 2011] of the input image to obtain meaningful region boundaries.



(b) (c) (a) (d) Table 1: Intermediate results after each step (a) Input spliced image (b) PSF grouping (all white pixels belong to single depth layer) (c) Patch-wise inconsistency between blur kernels (d) Texture based segmentation of the input image (e) Final result showing localized spliced region in red

image B can be derived from focussed image I as

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} I(P_{\Phi_{i},T_{i}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}))$$

• Similarly, PSF at $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ can be derived from a single point:

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta(P_{\Phi_i, T_i}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$$

• In matrix form, it is equivalent to $p^{(x,y)} = M^{(x,y)}W_D$

Consistency of h_{length} and v_{length}

- Assumption Small angle of rotation φ.
- If we pick any two points: \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j on a PSF, the difference in their spatial locations can be expressed in terms of the PSF's pixel coordinate x and y:





(a) Real Image 1

(2)

(4)



(d) Ground Truth





(f) Proposed Method





(c) PSF Grouping









(a) Vertical-lengths vs rows (b) Horizontal-lengths vs columns Figure 1: We can see that values Δx_{ij}^l and Δy_{ij}^l turn out to be constant for all the

PSFs lying on same column index y and same row index x, respectively.

(g) Real Image 2











(j) Ground Truth

(k) Result using [2] (I) Proposed Method References

- [1] D.-Y. Hsiao and S.-C. Pei, "Detecting digital tampering by blur estimation," in *Systematic Approaches to* Digital Forensic Engineering, 2005. First International Workshop on. IEEE, 2005, pp. 264–278.
- [2] M. P. Rao, A. N. Rajagopalan, and G. Seetharaman, "Harnessing motion blur to unveil splicing," vol. 9, no. 4. IEEE, 2014, pp. 583–595.
- [3] C. Paramanand and A. Rajagopalan, "Shape from sharp and motion-blurred image pair," International journal of computer vision, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 272–292, 2014.

The International Conference on Image Processing 2016, Phoenix, Arizona, USA